Abstract—The analysis presented in this paper is conducted in the framework of the Ocean Worlds Autonomy Testbed for Exploration Research and Simulation (OceanWATERS) project, currently under development at NASA Ames Research Center. OceanWATERS aims at designing a simulation environment which allows for testing autonomy of scientific lander missions to the icy moons of our solar system. Mainly focused on reproducing the end effector interaction with the inherent terrain, this paper introduces a novel discrete element method (DEM)-based approach to determine forces and torques acting on the lander’s scoop during the sample acquisition process. An accurate force feedback from the terrain on the scoop is required by fault-detection and autonomous decision-making algorithms to identify when the requested torque on the robotic arm’s joints exceeds the maximum available torque. Knowledge of the terrain force feedback significantly helps evaluating the arm’s links structural properties and properly selecting actuators for the joints. Models available in literature constitute a partial representation of the dynamics of the interaction. As an example, Balovnev derived an analytical expression of the vertical and horizontal force acting on a bucket while collecting a sample as a function of its geometry and velocity, soil parameters and reached depth. Although the model represents an adequate approximation of the two force components, it ignores the direction orthogonal to the scoop motion and neglects the torque. This work relies on DEM analysis to compensate for analytical models’ deficiencies and inaccuracies, i.e. provide force and torque 3D vectors, defined in the moving reference (body) frame attached to the scoop, at each instant of the sample collection process. Results from the first presented analysis relate to the specific OceanWATERS sampling strategy, which consists of collecting the sample through five consecutive passes with increasing depth, each pass following the same circular-linear-circular trajectory. Data is collected given a specific scoop design interacting with two types of bulk materials, which may characterize the surface of icy planetary bodies: snow and ice. Although specifically concerned with the OceanWATERS design, this first analysis provides the expected force trends for similar sampling strategies and allows to deduce phenomenological information about the general scooping process. In order to further instruct the community on the use of DEM tools as a solution to the sampling collection problem, two more analyses have been carried out, mainly focused on reducing the DEM computation time, which increases with a decrease in particle size. After running a set of identical simulations, where the only changing parameter is the size of the spherical particle, it is observed that the resulting force trajectories, starting from a given particle size, converge to the true trend. It is deducible that a further decrease in size yields negligible improvements in the accuracy, while it sensibly increases computation time. A final analysis aims at discussing limitations of approximating bulk material particles having a complex shape, e.g. ice fragments, with spheres, by comparing force trends resulting in the two cases for the same simulation scenario.

1. INTRODUCTION

Icy worlds have gained attention in the recent years for their environmental conditions suitable for the development of life. Multiple icy worlds are present in our solar system such as Enceladus and Europa, two moons orbiting around Saturn and Jupiter respectively [1]. Recently, the Cassini probe data revealed some organic molecules on Enceladus, reinforcing the theory of those worlds being suitable for life organisms development [2]. In order to test different autonomous science operations algorithms for future lander missions to icy worlds, NASA Ames Research Center is developing the Ocean Worlds Autonomy Testbed for Research and Simulation (OceanWATERS).

In parallel to the software simulation, the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) is developing a physical testbed for simulation validation and testing. The JPL Europa Lander [3] has been chosen as reference mission for the software and hardware testbed development. However, applicability of OceanWATERS extends to all future missions landing on icy planets.

OceanWATERS is being developed leveraging the team’s expertise on the Robotic Operating System (ROS) and Gazebo, acquired during the development of a simulation environment for the Resource Prospector (RP) Lunar rover project [4]. ROS is an open source robotics tool enabling the fast development of complex robotics systems. Gazebo, on the other hand, is a physical simulator used to provide the ROS-based simulated robotic systems with an environment to evolve in [5].
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This paper focuses on the lander’s robotic arm and, more specifically, the end effector to soil interaction. Previous NASA missions robotic systems interacted with soils on extraterrestrial bodies using an end effector. A non-exhaustive list includes: the Rock Abrasion Tool (RAT) from the Spirit and Opportunity Mars Exploration Rovers (MER) [6], the Sample Acquisition System from the Curiosity Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) [7], and the Icy Soil Acquisition Device (ISAD) from the Phoenix Lander [8]. The Phoenix ISAD is the closest end effector to the one presented in this paper. It has been used to dig, scrape and rasp the Mars dry and icy surfaces. The operation concept for this particular end effector was to use the scoop to trench loose ground over tens of centimeters and a dozen centimeters deep.

The paper presents collected numerical data on forces and torques applied to the scoop during a trenching motion through granular soil, simulated using a discrete element method (DEM) model. Two representative types of material (snow and ice fragments) are considered in this paper. However, the same DEM simulation can be run for different terrains by changing the bulk material and interaction parameters. The scoop is digging through several passes at increasing depth. The forces and torques resulting from the DEM simulation is then embedded in a lookup table included in the OceanWATERS Gazebo framework. Furthermore, this paper presents a survey of soil types presently present on Europa, gathering information about the icy terrain properties to provide context for the soil parameter assumptions. Finally, the paper presents an optimization study of the different simulated parameters to reduce the DEM computation time.

Different approaches have been used in literature and previous projects to estimate excavation forces and torques in granular terrain. Common approaches consist of the adoption of analytical models, such as the Balovev equations [9], empirical models, obtained by means of physical testbed, or discrete element method-based simulation at particle level. Although attractive for their simplicity, analytical models have several major limitations: they do not sufficiently distinguish between different scoop geometries, and they do not handle heterogeneous or more complex terrain structures. As for empirical models, their accuracy is limited to specific applications and scenarios that can physically be reproduced. They are well suited when parameters are known and do not change, while it results difficult to test over a broad variety of input parameters. Furthermore, challenges arise when environmental factors such as gravity and temperature are widely different than what is found on Earth [10]. Conversely, DEM-based methods take into account the specific end effector geometry, the soil property and the environmental conditions, providing a more realistic and customizable force feedback characterization. DEM models are based on the modeling of physical interactions at a particle level, taking into account elastic forces, normal and rolling friction, plastic deformation of the particles and cohesion [11]. DEM is popular in the mining industry, where the modeling of large-scale processes aids efficient design of excavation and material transportation machinery. One of the most popular DEM modeling and analysis tools is the commercial software EDEM, chosen for OceanWATERS because of its maturity and library of validated materials [12].

This paper is articulated in five sections. After this introduction, Section 2 presents an overview of the possible features of Europa’s terrain and justifies the choices of the particle parameters used in the simulations. Section 3 presents the sample collection strategy adopted in this study and for the OceanWATERS simulation. Section 4 presents results of targeted analyses aimed at efficiently simulating the sample collection using DEM.

2. Europa Terrain Characterization

The solar system contains numerous confirmed (Europa, Enceladus, Ganymede [13]) and unconfirmed (Callisto, Pluto) icy ocean worlds. For the purpose of this study we focus on Europa, currently under the radar for future space missions. An early stage concept for a Europa lander mission is discussed in detail in [14], and was used as a reference for the types of activities a lander should be expected to perform on Europa. Included among these activities are terrain interaction: poking, digging or cutting of surface ice, and scooping of the resulting tailings. To simulate these activities using DEM, the chemical content and structure of the surface and subsurface of Europa should be understood.

Europa’s surface temperature range between 76 and 132 K [15]. Jupiter’s magnetosphere delivers a bombardment of heavy radiation to Europa’s surface that plays a key role in its surface properties through a process known as sputtering. The moon is tidally locked with Jupiter, which results in subtle differences in surface characteristics between its trailing and leading hemispheres. The low number of craters implies it has a relatively young surface age, between 40-60 million years [16]; however, this smooth surface is broken up by cracks and sublimation-driven features, such as the penitentes, reaching heights of 15 meters in the equatorial region [17].

The surface consists primarily of water ice in one of three forms: low-density amorphous (LDA) ice, the familiar hexagonal crystalline ice ($I_h$) seen on Earth, and hydrated materials. LDA ice is a special form of water ice that forms from water vapor under low pressure between 100 K and 140 K [15]. Amorphous ice deposits on the surface of Europa in the form of frost, then transitions into crystalline ice over the time span of only about 10 years [15]. Radiation on the topmost layers causes a reverse reaction to occur where crystalline ice transitions back into LDA ice. The two processes together establish an equilibrium between the two states, such that at a particular depth we expect the LDA ice to give way to crystalline ice. Data from the Near-Infrared Mapping Spectrometer (NIMS) aboard the Galileo space probe has been used to confirm this hypothesis. In [18], Hansen et. al. found that Europa’s surface is coated in a thin dusting of LDA ice down to only as deep as 1 mm. Below this depth the ice content is primarily in crystalline or possibly a mixture of crystalline and LDA ice that is known as restrained amorphous ice ($I_r$). NIMS spectra have also revealed mixtures of water and other chemical species known as hydrates on the surface, with the trailing side containing a higher concentration than the leading side [18]. The ice-mixed compounds are either salt or sulfuric acid [18]. There are smaller concentrations of other chemical species, but their presence is unlikely to affect the material properties by an appreciable amount for the purpose of terrain interaction.

NIMS data suggests ice is deposited on Europa’s surface boundary with a grain size between 20 and 50 μm [15]. Bonds between these grains can be formed and strengthened through a process known as sintering, whereby lattice diffusion and vapor transport work to maximize the contact area between neighboring grains [19]. The sintering timescale is
proportional to temperature, which is higher at the topmost surface layer than at deeper depths when averaged over the diurnal cycle. This would result in more sintered material near the surface, which would cause a decrease in material hardness with increased depth for shallow digs (i.e. within 1 m). On the other hand, sputtering, a process driven by the surface radiation, breaks up materials on the topmost surface layer. Depending on which of these processes dominates (sputtering or sintering) the reverse gradient may be observed within 1 m, where hardness will increase with depth. Two additional edge cases can be considered: extremely sintered material and extremely sputtered material. Where in the case of extremely sintered material something approaching solid polycrystalline ice is expected, for extremely sputtered material we unbonded granular ice particles are more likely to exist.

Material Selection & Justification

Both theory and NIMS data suggest that hexagonal crystalline ice (I(h)) is an appropriate target material to simulate for terrain interaction on the surface of Europa. For the purpose of these simulations hexagonal ice will be simulated in two forms: snow and crushed ice. Snow approximates the case where surface ice, due to weak sintering and/or strong sputtering, is mostly unconsolidated and can be scooped without too much processing of the material. Crushed ice approximates the case where surface ice, due to strong sintering and/or weak sputtering, is strengthened enough to the point it needs to be processed with either a drill or some other cutting implement before scooping of the tailings may occur. The elastic properties and internal friction for both particle types were selected to be Earth-like hexagonal crystalline ice just under 273 K. Cohesion between particles is assumed to be negligible, the reason being that in the low pressure environment of Europa water will sublime instead of melt, so the liquid water surface tension that allows for cohesion between ice particles on Earth is not present. The snow particles were given a lower density to account for their greater porosity, and the crushed ice particles were simulated as both spheres and tetrahedrons approximated by multi-spheres meshes to simulate a jagged shape that can interlock. The material properties for both the snow and crushed ice particles that were simulated can be found in Table 6.

3. Sample Collection Strategy

For the study presented in this paper, a simplified version of the scoop design from the Phoenix Mars Lander has been adopted [20]. The simplified scoop and its body frame are shown in Figure 1. A sample collection strategy was developed and used in the OceanWATERS Gazebo framework. However, a specific study focused on optimizing the trajectory is yet to be conducted and may result in modifications of the given sampling approach. The sample collection strategy consists of a sequence of five passes of the scoop through the same terrain region digging deeper with each pass. Each pass is also called a bite. When one pass is over, the scoop is emptied, with the collected soil deposited in a safe zone, and the next pass is commenced. Between two consecutive bites, the excavated depth increases a fixed quantity, referred to as bite depth $b_d$ from now on. At the end of the scooping maneuver the total excavated depth corresponds to $d = 5b_d$. This number was arbitrarily defined as a good trade off between number of simulations and trench final depth. All passes are characterized by the same scoop trajectory. Figure 2 shows the three main steps constituting the scoop motion, which are: 1) the scoop approaches and enters the soil through a rotation...
To analyze the forces and torques on the scoop, DEM software breaks solid geometries into some number of facets and calculates the force vector, resulting from the soil-geometry interaction, on each facet. These facet forces can then be summed to find the force vector on the whole geometry (i.e., the scoop for our work). The total force and total torque on the scoop are defined as

\[ F = \sum F_i, \]  
\[ T = \sum r_i \times F_i, \]

where \( r_i \) is the vector from the geometry's center of mass to the center of the \( i \)th facet element of the geometry and \( F_i \) is the force acting on it.

The high accuracy of DEM comes at the cost of long computation time. Hence it is not possible to run the DEM simulation real time within the OceanWATERS Gazebo simulation. Instead, the problem must be implemented off-line and the force and torque analysis results. To do so, the circular-linear-circular scoop trajectory described in Section 3 has been simulated using spheres of decreasing size with the parameters given in Table 1. The set \( S \), described in Equation 5, lists the sphere diameters adopted in each simulation:

\[ S = \{ S_2, S_{2.5}, S_{3.25}, S_4, S_{5.25}, S_{6.5}, S_{8.25}, S_{10} \}. \]

where, for \( S_d, d \) is the diameter given in mm.

Table 2 lists the simulation parameters, including the terrain properties and interaction coefficients. In order to understand how different particle sizes influence the force and torque seen by the scoop, the magnitude of the force and torque from each simulation were compared, and can be seen in Figure 3. The data represented here is not smoothed to highlight how larger particles cause more fluctuation in the curve. The fluctuations decrease when the particle size decreases, until the two trends converge to the smoothest one, corresponding to \( \mathcal{O} \) 2 mm. Observing the trends, it is noticeable how the force and torque magnitude decreases as the particle diameter decreases. In Figure 3, the \( \mathcal{O} \) 5.25 mm trend presents few anomalous spikes of high magnitude which might be due to particles jamming during the scoop motion. Figure 4 is a 3D representation of Figure 3, where the data has been smoothed to easily visualize it. This figure shows more clearly that as the particle size decreases, the curves approach the \( \mathcal{O} \) 2 mm.
Table 2. Simulation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s Ratio</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Modulus (Pa)</td>
<td>1e+7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Friction</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Friction</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Restitution</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Density (g/cm$^3$)</td>
<td>0.9167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain Model</td>
<td>Elastic, non-cohesive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity (m/s$^2$)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. The top graph shows the magnitude of the force feedback applied on the scoop while traversing a bed of particles using the trajectory described in Section 2. The bottom graph shows the torque applied relative to the center of mass. The spikes are anomalies of the simulation. Some jamming of the material is likely to cause this behavior. This happens mainly on larger particle size.

Figure 4. 3D plot of the force feedback as a function of time and particle diameter. It shows a convergence of the forces to a steady state as the particle size decreases. The spike appearing at 5.25 mm is likely due to a simulation anomaly.

Figure 5. The graph shows the smoothed vs raw data for the simulation using Ø 2 mm particles.

trend, which has its raw and smoothed data trends highlighted in Figure 5. From Figure 4 it is visible that from 10 mm to 4 mm the trends experience a significant increase in force due to the larger particle size. Observing particles under 4 mm, the trends in force look similar. In order to estimate an optimized particle size, a tolerance of 20% to the variation in force and torque observed by each particle diameter is applied. A tolerance of 20% was chosen to reduce the overall computation time while maintaining a reasonable accuracy in the force and torque responses. To find the optimized particle size, first the maximum value of the smoothed force magnitude is isolated. Then, the maximum percent error
The two graphs show the average force (top) and torque (bottom) magnitude variation (MPE) as the particle size varies. The red dotted line is the threshold used to select the particle size. MPE is calculated as:

$$MPE(S_d) = \frac{\max\{S_d(0),\ldots,S_d(n)\} - \max\{S_2(0),\ldots,S_2(n)\}}{\max\{S_2(0),\ldots,S_2(n)\}} \times 100.$$  

Thus, the optimal particle size can be found with

$$S_{d,20\%} : MPE(S_d) \leq 20\%,$$

where $S_{d,20\%}$ represents the desired size. Figure 6 shows the maximum percent error for each particle diameter as purple dots, and the tolerance as a dashed red line. From the figure, it can be seen that the optimal particle diameter is given as $3.5\text{ mm}$, indicated as a red dot in the plot. In light of this result, the particle size chosen for simulations adopting snow as bulk material is a single sphere having diameter equal to $3.5\text{ mm}$.

**Shape Complexity of Ice-like Material**

The second bulk material considered in this work consists of ice fragments. Although crushed ice particles may have disparate sharp configurations, it is here assumed that ice fragments have tetrahedral shape, which enable the particles to interlock together similar to actual ice. In DEM simulations, every particle shape is approximated using multi-sphere particles. The more accurate the spherical approximation, the smaller the minimum sphere radius $R_{min}$, which increases the computation time according to Equation 4. In this analysis four approximations of the tetrahedral ice particles are compared with respect to their resultant respective force magnitude trends on the scoop and the computation time. Simulation parameters for this analysis are the ones given in Tables 1 and 2. Given a regular tetrahedron of side 10 mm, the four considered approximations are shown in Figure 7. This size of tetrahedron was chosen such that the resulting particles would have diameters on the order of the diameter found for the snow-like particle. The simplest configuration is an inscribed sphere with a $4\text{ mm}$ in the tetrahedron, shown in the top left of Figure 7. The top right configuration is a composition of four spheres having $3.5\text{ mm}$. The bottom left composition includes a further level of complexity, introducing four more spheres of $1.75\text{ mm}$ at the corners. The best approximation of the tetrahedron is given in the bottom right corner where four more spheres of $0.875\text{ mm}$ have been added to resemble the sharp polyhedron corners. The force magnitude trends, both raw (thin line) and smoothed (thick line), obtained from running the given scenario for each of the four particle configurations, are given in Figure 8 and the computation times are listed in Table 3. From Figure 8, it can be seen that the magnitude of the required force increases with particle complexity. This behavior can be explained by the fact that sharp particles have multiple contact points with the scoop and other particles. As a result, particles tend to interlock with each other and with the scoop, requiring a greater effort during the scooping process. This behavior is confirmed by the larger irregularities observed as the particle shape becomes more complex. Conversely, low fluctuations
and low force magnitudes characterize the smooth behavior of single sphere particles, which allow for a more fluid scoop motion in the bulk material. The choice of the particle type in the present study was heavily driven by computation time optimization requirements. Hence, the 4-sphere configuration has been selected for ice simulations knowing that the actual force acting on the scoop can reach greater values.

**Simulation Set for OceanWATERS Lookup Table**

The previous analysis have established that the scooping strategy will be simulated using a Ø 3.5 mm single sphere for snow, and a clump of 4 spheres emulating a tetrahedron for ice fragments. The data presented in this section has been included in the OceanWATERS lookup table. Table 4 defines the scoop trajectory parameters used and Table 5 summarizes the set of simulations performed to fill the look-up table. For each bulk material type (ice and snow) and each bite depth one sample collection through 5 consecutive passes has been simulated in EDEM. Simulation parameters are summarized in Table 6. Furthermore, the data of each simulation has been smoothed to help visualization.

**Table 4. Key event times and speeds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Total Angle/Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Rotation</td>
<td>30 deg/s</td>
<td>90 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Translation</td>
<td>0.1 m/s</td>
<td>0.3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Rotation</td>
<td>30 deg/s</td>
<td>90 deg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5. Values of simulation variables used in the lookup table simulation set.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Material - ( m )</td>
<td>Ice, snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bite depth - ( d ) (mm)</td>
<td>15, 9, 5, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass - ( p )</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6. Terrain parameters for lookup table simulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s Ratio</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Modulus (Pa)</td>
<td>1e+7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Friction</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Friction</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Restitution</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Density (g/cm(^3))</td>
<td>0.9 (ice) - 0.3 (snow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain Model</td>
<td>Elastic, non-cohesive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9 represents the force and torque magnitude trends, with ice fragments as bulk material, as a function of the covered space along the trenching direction $\rho$ when the bite depth is 15mm. The black circles on the $\rho$-axis defines the circular-linear and linear-circular transitions. The difference between passes is negligible during the first circular motion. Once the linear motion starts, the force follows an approximately linear change. The higher the pass number, the greater the increase in force, until the trend reaches a peak in correspondence with the start of the second circular motion. Recalling that an increase in pass number means increasing the depth, a greater slope in force for deeper trenching is justified by accumulation of bulk material in and in front of the scoop. A comparison of the force and torque applied to the scoop for the 1st and 5th pass of a simulation with a bite depth of 15 mm is shown in Figure 10. Figure 10 confirms what has been observed in Figure 8 regarding the fact that complex particles exert a higher force on the scoop than simple spheres, which is further enhanced by the difference in density of the bulk material. As a result, the force feedback registered from scooping in ice fragments is one order of magnitude larger than scooping in snow. The force and torque observed by the scoop operating in snow is given in Figure 11. Here the pass number is fixed at 1 and the bite depth varies. It can be observed that as bite depth increases, so does the force and torque applied to the scoop. Given the small bite depth during the first pass, there is no significant accumulation of bulk material in the scoop during the linear motion. While the scoop moves linearly, forces on the scoop either remain constant or decrease because the particles have not yet reached the back wall of the scoop (i.e., while the scoop translates, particles enter the cavity without hitting any scoop’s surface). When the second circular motion starts, the change of attitude of the scoop causes particles to be pushed towards the bottom of the scoop causing an initial decrease in force followed by an increase as the bulk material hits the back scoop wall. Figures 9-11 present an initial peak in the middle of the first circular motion. This is due to the fact that
Figure 12. Data validation sand testbed: the 3D-printed scoop is mounted on a rail that allows for linear horizontal motion. Three load cells allow measurement of forces in the body frame directions.

front blade of the scoop submerges into the bulk material at higher depths than the given bite depth while performing the circular motion penetrating the terrain. The deepest point of blade submersion happens halfway through the rotation and corresponds to the initial peak.

Experimental Environment Validation

In parallel to the OceanWATERS virtual simulation under development at NASA Ames Research Center, a physical testbed is being developed at NASA JPL. Together both testbeds will validate lander autonomy strategies. As a first pass at validating the reliability of our own virtual simulation results, a simple experiment has been run using a sand testbed at NASA Ames. Figure 12 shows the testbed arrangement. After being manually inserted into the sand bed, the 3D-printed scoop model moves with a linear constant velocity of 0.01 m/s parallel along the rail to which it is attached. The scoop is equipped with three load cells each mounted orthogonal to one another in order to capture the entire force vector acting on the scoop. The scoop material is PETG. The top plot of Figure 13 shows the three force components in the scoop body frame resulting from the experiment. The same experiment was performed five times. The shadowed region surrounding each plot represents the 95% confidence interval while the dashed line represents the average value. The bottom plot in Figure 13 reports the force components obtaining replicating the testbed scenario on the EDEM simulation tool. Experimental force trends show an initial peak due to the fact that the scoop has been inserted manually in the sand, which exerts initial unwanted forces on the scoop. Proceeding with its linear motion, experimental forces assume values which are very close to the experimental ones. It can be seen from Figure 13 that forces from the physical experiment increase in magnitude more rapidly than in the simulation. This can be explained considering that the scoop and the test rig are not perfectly rigid, as it is assumed in the simulation. In reality, the scoop system responds to a force in the y direction bending towards the force direction, collecting more sand than calculated in the simulation. To a greater accumulation of bulk material corresponds a greater force in both the y and z direction, which again causes further bending of the system. The result is a greater increase in force in the testbed case compared to the simulation case, as observed in Figure 13. This experiment does not constitute a full validation of the interaction model. The final validation will be conducted in collaboration with JPL on their testbed. This experiment serves to inform the team in Ames on the reliability of the force values in terms of sign and order of magnitude.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presented part of the Ocean Worlds Autonomy Testbed for Exploration Research and Simulation (OceanWATERS) simulator for future planetary exploration targeted at ocean worlds. The work belongs to a larger effort of simulating a lander platform in its realistic environment, targeted at autonomous science mission design. This paper focuses particularly on the interaction between the scoop end effector and icy granular material. The expected icy surface terrain composition and structure were discussed in Section 2. The different forms of icy materials expected on those worlds were described and their properties reviewed,
in order to inform on the assumptions that can be made to realistically simulate the terrain-scoop interaction. Two materials resembling snow and crushed ice were selected for the simulation in order to cover a range of properties. Section 3 described the trenching strategy, which is to collect the sample though multiple passes, referred as bites, with a scoop. The scoop approaches the terrain with a circular motion, moves with linear velocity parallel to the terrain to collect material and, with a second circular motion, leaves the soil. Targeted discrete element method (DEM) analyses allow for realistic simulation of the scoop-soil interaction in terms of forces and torques acting on the end effector. The EDEM software has been adopted as the DEM simulation tool. The key parameters of particle size and the particle shape complexity are explored to find a good trade off between simulation time and accuracy. With the resulting optimized size of Ø 3.5 mm particle and the chosen multi-sphere tetrahedron particle configuration, a set of simulations was run to create a lookup table that will be used to inform the OceanWATERS Gazebo simulator of the expected force feedback from the terrain for the given end effector trajectory. Finally, some preliminary validation of the DEM simulation was presented. The validation showed a similar trend, sign and order of magnitude in the force feedback through the trenching trajectory. However, due to the lack of rigidity of the real system compared to the ideal simulated case, forces on the scoop increase more rapidly in the experiment than in the simulation. Future research will explore other particle shapes and material properties. A look at bonded particles will also be explored. The experimental testbed will be equipped with motors that will allow circular motion of the scoop, allowing to simulate the entire scooping strategy. The final data validation will be conducted in collaboration with NASA JPL. In terms of the terrain research, breakable bonds will be added between adjacent discrete elements to simulate sintered ice grains. The strength between these bonds can be varied with depth to produce different desired strength gradients. Furthermore, different terrain geometries and trenching trajectories will be explored.
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